Corrections to "Applications of the Dieudonné Determinant"

J. L. Brenner
10 Phillips Road
Palo Alto, California 94303

Submitted by H. Schneider

Certain corrections are needed in [2].

(A) It was an unintentional oversight to omit reference to Artin's book [1], which includes a complete definition of the Dieudonné determinant.

(B) Certain results of [2] have been complemented by P. M. Cohn in [3].

(C) [2, 7.9] and [2, 7.10] are false. [2, 7.11] should be rephrased by changing the words "proper value" to "right [left] proper value" throughout.

(D) If K is not commutative, the material on p. 520 of [2] is needed to establish [2, 3.8]; see [1].

I thank P. M. Cohn, D. Ž Djoković, and G. Mazzola for helpful correspondence.

REFERENCES

1 E. Artin, *Geometric Algebra*, MR 18–553, Interscience, 1957.
2 J. L. Brenner, Applications of the Dieudonné determinant, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 1 (1968), 511–536, MR 39–1465.
3 P. M. Cohn, The similarity reduction of matrices over a skew field, *Math. Z.* **132** (1973), 151–164.

Received 15 February 1975

The five determinants of demand are price, income, prices of related goods, tastes, and expectations. A 6th, for aggregate demand, is number of buyers.Â How Each Determinant Affects Demand. Each factor's impact on demand is unique. When the income of the buyer increases, for example, that could also increase demand. The buyer has more money and is more likely to spend it. But when other factors increaseâ€"like the price of related goods, for exampleâ€"demand could decrease. Before breaking down the effect of each determinant, it's important to note that these factors don't change in a vacuum. All the factors are in flux all the time. To understand how one determinant affects demand, you must first hypothetically assume that all the Eine Liftung der DieudonnÃ©-Determinante und Anwendungen die multiplikative Gruppe eines SchiefkÃ¶rpers betreffend *. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BFb0095927. Lecture 10 (pages 101â€"116) of P. Draxl and M. Kneser, eds.Â The above-mentioned modification relates to the theory of the DieudonnÃ© determinant. Namely, by lifting the DieudonnÃ© determinant to a function âˆ† : GLn(D) â†' Dâˆ— (see Section 2), explicit bounds can be obtained from Wangâ€™s original proof. (The definition of the lifting âˆ† is straightfor-ward, and already more-or-less known. However, unlike the determinant, the lifting is usually not multiplicative.) As indicated above, we can solve Problem 1 only in the cases where â€œSK1(D) = 1â€ holds. Several examples where the phenotypic effects of polymorphisms are well documented provide encouraging evidence of the explanatory power of Mendelian randomization and are described. The limitations of the approach include confounding by polymorphisms in linkage disequilibrium with the polymorphism under study, that polymorphisms may have several phenotypic effects associated with disease, the lack of suitable polymorphisms for studying modifiable exposures of interest, and canalization-the buffering of the effects of genetic variation during development. We show how to detect non-tame automorphisms by using a criterion which is based on the DieudonnÃ© determinant and we construct some specific non-tame automorphisms of free metabelian Lie algebras and free Lie algebras of the form F/Î³m(F)â€². Do you want to read the rest of this article? Request full-text. Advertisement.Â A necessary and sufficient condition for n elements of the Lie algebra L/R2 to be a generating set is given. In particular, we have a criterion for n elements of a free Lie algebra of rank n to be a generating set which is similar to the corresponding group-theoretic result due to Birman (An inverse function theorem for free groups, Proc. Amer. Math.